Mr. Brandgard: Plainfield Town Council meeting for Monday, September 23, 2019 is now in session.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Mr. Brandgard: I’d like to ask everyone to rise for the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL TO DETERMINE QUORUM

Mr. Brandgard: Let the record show that all members are present, and we have a quorum for conducting business.

CITIZEN RECOGNITION

Mr. Brandgard: We have a Citizen Recognition for Community Day participants. It says presented by Chief Jared McKee, but I have a feeling that’s not the case.

Mr. Prewitt: You have the better looking gentleman doing the presentation. You know that, right? Okay, and I do apologize for the method here but I actually wasn’t at the event and I’ve got a whole stack of these, so... if you are here to be recognized, please come forward and we can sort through these real quick.

Mr. Klinger: Probably ought to let everyone know that Chief McKee is a new grandfather, so...

Mr. Prewitt: He’s a new grandfather and he’s got the sniffles too.

Mr. Brandgard: Oh, okay, that’s a good excuse.

Mr. Prewitt: So, we’ve participated in the National Night Out Program for several years. Typically, the National Night Out event occurs on a Tuesday for the Town of Plainfield where Tuesday... school is back in session and then it’s typically parent/teacher conference night and things and meet the teacher nights and things like that... so we, about three or four years ago we began a Community Day Event at Hummel Park and that event has continued to get bigger and bigger every year. This year we had about 34 vendors and a whole lot of moving parts and some folks reached out to offer their assistance in that so that we could be freed up to engage in the community. We have three of them here; Mr. Jack Aldridge, Zack Mongosa, and Jason Smith who came and assisted us in a lot of different ways. The set up; tear down and actually assisting with some of the events that we had there. So, we greatly appreciate it.

PROCLAMATION

Mr. Brandgard: We have a Proclamation this evening. I’d like to ask the young Marines to come forward. We have a Proclamation which reads:

    Whereas, communities across America have been plagued by the numerous problems associated with illicit drug use and those that traffic in them; and
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Whereas, there is hope in winning the War on Drugs, and that hope lies in education and drug demand reduction, coupled with the hard work and determination of organizations such as the Young Marines of the Marine Corps League to foster a healthy, drug-free lifestyle; and

Whereas, governments and community leaders know that citizen support is one of the most effective tools in the effort to reduce the use of illicit drugs in our communities; and

Whereas, the Red Ribbon has been chosen as a symbol commemorating the work of Enrique "Kiki" Camarena, a Drug Enforcement Administration agent who was murdered in the line of duty, and represents the belief that one person can make a difference; and

Whereas, the Red Ribbon Campaign was established by Congress in 1988 to encourage a drug-free lifestyle and involvement in drug prevention and reduction efforts; and

Whereas, October 20-26 has been designated National Red Ribbon Week, which encourages Americans to wear a Red Ribbon to show their support for a drug-free environment;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT: We, the Town Council do hereby proclaim October 20-26 as RED RIBBON WEEK in Plainfield, Indiana and urge all citizens to join in this special observance.

Passed and Adopted, by the undersigned Town Council of the Town of Plainfield, Indiana on this 23rd day of September 2019.

Is there anything you’d like to say?

Mr. Gurley: Just real quick, I’d just like to say, I’ve been with the young Marine Program for about eight years now. Every year we try to do something a little bit bigger, bring a little bit more awareness to the drug problem. I’m sure you’ve all heard and I’m sure you all know that drugs nowadays are extremely dangerous. Way different than... you know, I’m a child of the 70’s, so way different than those days. So, talk to your kids; let them know that you know a little bit about what’s going on because we find really, that just communicating and educating them and letting them know that there’s a flip side to the fun side of drugs; that goes a long, long way. We will be releasing a series of five videos. We’re going to try to do our best to make it go viral. We’ll be talking about these things and trying to do it in a humorous way so that the kids will actually look at the videos maybe and see them. So, thank you very much, we really appreciate it.

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you

CONSENT AGENDA

Mr. Brandgard: We have several items on the consent agenda this evening. First item is:

1. Approval of the Minutes of the Town Council meeting of September 9, 2019.
2. Approval of reports dated September 17, 2019 from the following departments:
   Administrative Services
   Clerk-Treasurer
   Development Services
   Parks and Recreation
   Police Department
   Plainfield Fire Territory
HCCC Public Works

Town Manager

3. Consent for Staff and Legal Counsel to enter into discussions with the West Central Conservancy District regarding modifications to the Agreed Sewer Service Boundary Maps, per the Development Services Report.

4. Approval to release all retainage including any accrued interest, approximately $41,623.26, to Bowen Engineering for work associated with the East Fork-Phase 2, per the Development Services report.

5. Approval of Supplement Number 2 to the Contract with Ratio Architects Increasing the Contract amount by $140,000 plus $10,500 in potential reimbursable expenses associated with preparation of Schematic Design documents for the Town Hall/Arts Center project, per the Development Services Report. (Funded from US 40 TIF)

6. Approval of Amendment Number 1 to the Contract with Whitaker Engineering Increasing the Contract amount by $39,000 for Engineering Services associated with Sewer Master Plan Updates, per the Development Services Report. (Funded by Sewer Utility)

7. Approval to Negotiate a Memorandum of Understanding with MetroAir Business Park II Owner, LLC for partial reimbursement of Public Infrastructure Costs for a not-to-exceed amount of $573,560, per the Development Services Report. (Funding by Six Points TIF)

8. Approval of the Official Trick or Treat Hours (5:30pm to 8:30pm on Thursday, October 31), per the Communication and Marketing Report.

9. Approval of the Contract with Patriot Engineering for both Phase 1 & 2 Environmental Studies of the Apple House, pending legal review, per the Fleet & Facilities Report. (Funded from Vandalia & Saratoga TIFs)

Are there any additions or corrections to the consent agenda? Being none, I’d entertain a motion to approve.

Mr. Angle: So moved

Mr. McPhail: Second

Mr. Brandgard: We have a motion and a second to approve the consent agenda as read. If there’s no further discussion, roll call vote please.

Mr. Todisco: Mr. Bridget- yes

Mr. Angle- yes

Mr. Kirchoff- yes

Mr. McPhail- yes

Mr. Brandgard- yes

Plainfield Town Council Consent Agenda for 9-25-19 is adopted as read.

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you

PUBLIC HEARINGS

Mr. Brandgard: We have three public hearings this evening. Do we have proof of publication?

Mr. Daniel: Yes, we do.
Mr. Brandgard: Thank you. The first public hearing is for Tax Abatement for Rock Creek Partners, LLC, FBO Ambrose and/or Related Entities. If there is anybody in the audience that wishes to address us on this item, now is the time to come forward. Again, we have a public hearing for Tax Abatement for Rock Creek Partners, now is the time to come forward. With nobody coming forward we'll close that public hearing.

We also have a public hearing for Additional Appropriation – Miracle Field/Bicentennial Park/I-70 TIF. If there is anybody in the audience that wishes to address us on that, now is the time to come forward. Again, a public hearing for an Additional Appropriation. With nobody coming forward, we'll close that public hearing.

We also have a public hearing on the 2020 Budget and a presentation from Budget Director, Steve Dyson.

Mr. Dyson: A few of the points I'm going to go through today are some key financial indicators, a budget timeline of what we've done and what we need to do yet to get the budget approved, a few of the highlights from the budget, a summary of the budget and cash balances. Then I'll go through a break down of the budget. There are a few pie charts to show the breakdown, and also with the budget of the estimated revenues as well. And then our published budgets, levies and rates, and the estimated budgets, levies, and rates. So, one of the financial indicators is the Net Assessed Value. And as you can see from this graph, it shows both the Civil Net Assessed Value for the Town as well as the Fire Territory Net Assessed Value. See the trend is going upward, which is a good indicator of growth in the community. The two together; the change from 2019 to 2020 is about a 4.7% increase. Another indicator of growth in the community is the Income Tax Revenue. So, in our Income Tax we have the regular or the Certified Shares, which is split between our Town General Fund and the Fire Territory General Fund. And then we have the Economic Development Income Tax on top of that. The 2020 is still an estimate, they haven't been certified by the DLGF yet. They're right at just over $12 million on the estimate. I will mention that last year they gave a 2019 estimate and it turned out that the certified was less than the estimate. So, I just wanted to make sure that I pointed that out; that it hasn't been certified yet and it could come down some. But it is about a 10% increase; the estimate, over 2019. So, one of the things that you've probably heard people talk about is a relatively low tax rate and being able to do a lot of things and keep our tax rate down. The two things here; we have a Town Rate in gray, that's the smaller number, and that's what this Board is responsible for. And we've been able to hold that at just about $0.80 per $100 of assessed value. The overall District Rate, and that's the District for the Town of Plainfield/Guilford Township, which is the majority of Plainfield; that rate is at $2.12 in 2019 and it went down from 2018. The 2020 is just a projection because we don't know exactly what schools, library and County are going to do, but we anticipate that that might go up slightly from last year's drop. So, as you know, the departments have been working for the past several months on putting their 2020 budget together. I want to thank all of the Department Heads and a special thanks to Mark and the Clerk-Treasurer's Office for assisting and getting things done for the 2020 budget. There's a lot that goes on behind the scenes and I just wanted to say that I think we did a very good job of getting things done in a timely manner this year. Tonight, is the public hearing and the first reading of the budget. We are required to give a notice to the taxpayers; that's on Gateway, it's required at least 10 days before the public hearing, so we submitted that on September 12th. After this meeting, at our next scheduled meeting on October 14th, we'll ask for a suspension of the rules to do the second and third reading and the final adoption of the 2020 budget. So, some of the highlights in the 2020 budget; the first, the additional Employee Benefit Programs. Two things; the Educational Assistance or what we're calling the Tuition Reimbursement Program, is going to be available starting in January 2020. It's something that this Council has approved. There is an annual limit and a lifetime limit, but it's something that employees are able to get reimbursed; they apply beforehand, before they take the class, they meet certain criteria, and they can be reimbursed for that educational expense. The second is a
Wellness Program. It doesn’t actually have a budget impact in 2020, but on October 1st of this year starts your eligibility for the Wellness Program that will be paid in 2021. Each individual employee that has insurance can get up to $750 additional to put into their Health Savings Account per year. And if they have a spouse that’s also under the insurance program, they can get an additional $750 for a total of $1,500 additional to their Health Savings Account. We do have a 27th pay in 2020, that’s something that’s just... it’s a math thing that happens I think it’s about every 11 years, and in doing so, we added approximately $762,000 for that additional pay that would not typically be in a regular budget. We do have two new items; the payment in lieu of taxes and an administrative fee. This is something that will be paid through the Utility Funds to the General Fund. We’ve basically eliminated almost all of the salary and benefit splits that we’ve done this year and in the past. So, we’ve actually put the salary amounts in the General Fund, so it causes the General Fund budget to go up, but it’s being offset by payment in lieu of taxes and an admin fee out of the Utility Funds that come in as revenue. We do have three new full-time positions. Those are in the Police Department; two SRO’s and one Patrol Officer. And in the Nature Preserve, we have what equates to about 2/3 of a full-time equivalent, I believe, that’s going to be starting out as two part-time employees. Maybe two in some of the heavier months and one in some of the lighter months, that’ll be worked out by Jason. We do have a couple of new Funds that aren’t in the 2019 budget but will be in the 2020 budget. One is for a GO Bond payment and the other is for the Nature Preserve that’s south of I-70. I mentioned Capital Projects on the budget highlights. Of course I didn’t make a list but all of you are familiar with all of the Capital Projects, so I just wanted to bring attention that we’re still doing a lot of things in the Town of Plainfield and we’re doing it right now without having any effect on the tax rate. So, in just kind of a big picture, the total budget estimate is right at $99.3 million. That includes all of our funds; general operating funds; those are debt payment funds that are paid for out of property taxes; capital funds, TIF Funds, and the Utilities. If you remember, we added the TIF and the Utilities to the 2019 budget process and we’re going to continue that in 2020. We have about twelve Funds that are supported by property taxes. Of those twelve funds, that’s what the DLGF certifies as just property tax funds. The budget is about $39.8 million. As a comparison it was $38 million in 2019, so that’s a 4.8% increase over 2019. And just to show the size of the TIF and Utility Funds, I just included those numbers; the TIF at $26.4 million and the combined Utility Fund at almost $17.5 million. So, that makes up right around 40-44% of our total overall budget. So, one of the things that we kind of have as a budget guideline is to make sure that all of our funds have a 20% or greater operating balance, especially our Operating Funds. As you can see in the fund types, the groupings are Operating, the Capital and TIF are included together; the Debt Funds and Utilities, and then I’ve included Rainy Day just to show the balance, although it doesn’t have a budget. You get the operating balance by taking the estimated balance at the end of next year, divided by the budget. So, as you can see the Operating is at 40%; Capital and TIF are 64%, the Debt Fund at just over 25% and Utilities at 114%. In those Utilities, that also includes all of the Availability Funds, so those are Capital Funds which generally have a higher balance because they’re saving that money for certain projects. The proposed budget again, at $99.3 million, the Estimated Revenue at $89.9 million, means we’re spending about $9.3-$9.4 million of the reserves. Just as a comparison, that number seems rather large; that number was over $13 million for 2019. And I’ll just say that normally we’re not going to spend 100% of our budget and we usually try to be a little bit conservative on our Revenue Estimates. So, it’s likely that that number will not actually be $9.4 million. So, just to give a quick summary of the budget breakdown, this is in order, largest to smallest; the Operating Funds are 40% of our budget; Capital and TIF Funds 37%, the Utilities 18%; and Debt Payment Funds 5%. The largest of this group are the Operating Funds, so just a further breakdown of the Operating Funds; we have the General Government, which is the Clerk-Treasurer’s Office, Administrative Services, and the Court at 11%. Fire, Police and Communications are our Public Safety Agencies. Totaling the three of those together is at 58%, so almost 60% of our budget for Public Safety. The streets and Nature Preserve, that’s 7%; Parks at 14%; and then Development Services and IT at 5% each. So, those two were about the budget, so this is, how is that budget funded, so it’s the revenue breakdown. And this is
including all funds; TIF and Utilities are included in this. You’ll see four triangles, or four pieces
of the pie that are roughly the same; Property Tax, charges for services, the Tax Increment and
Income Tax. The charges for services number is... the majority of that comes from the rate
payers. The Tax Increment, the 21% is completely coming from the TIF Districts. Then you see
the size of the Income Tax, you have other taxes, which is food and beverage, casino excise tax,
and then some transfers, and then finally the smaller ones; licenses and permits, fines and
forfeitures, interest, and miscellaneous. So, what is a more common way of looking at the
revenue breakdown is to pull out the Utilities and the TIFs. So, this is basically our take on
Operating, Capital and Debt. So, we have 38% funded by Property Tax, 31% from Income Tax,
10% other taxes, then 9% transfers, that’s majority coming from the Utilities into the General
Fund. I would like to mention the rest of those smaller pieces of the pie; the charges for
services, fines and forfeitures, licenses and permits, those are really the only ones that we have
much say or control in what we charge for a service; how much the fines are, and how much
the licenses and permits are. That’s about 10% of our total revenue, so we don’t have much
flexibility. We’re limited on our Property Tax because the Property Tax can only grow by a
certain percentage each year, which for 2020 that’s 3.5%. So really, we’re kind of restricted on
what those are. But I just wanted to point out a small portion of that, we do have some effect
on. So, this page has our published budgets, levies and rates. Chief Thacker helped point out
that I have a typo. I think he thought I was seriously cutting his property tax rate and wanted to
make sure that that was not correct. So, two up from the bottom, under “Fire Territory”, that
rate estimate should be $0.3111, so $0.31 instead of $0.03, which makes the total like $1.15
instead of $0.88. We always advertise, especially our levy estimates and our rates at a higher
rate than what’s going to be adopted because you can’t go any higher than what you advertise.
So, the next slide is just kind of what we estimate right now on the knowledge that we have. So,
the budget, $39.3 million, a little less than $40 million; Levy estimate at $20.4 million is under
the $24 million; and the Tax Rate, we believe we’ll be able to keep it constant at .7994, which is
exactly where we’ve had it for the last three years. I went through that pretty quickly, are there
any questions?

Mr. Brandgard: None from here

Mr. Dyson: All right

Mr. Brandgard: Again, this is a public hearing, is there anybody in the audience that wishes to
address us on the 2020 Budget? Steve, thank you. Again, this is a public hearing for the Town’s
2020 Budget. If anybody wishes to address us, now is the time. With nobody coming forward,
the public hearing is closed.

BID OPENING

Mr. Brandgard: We are showing a bid opening. Scott?

Mr. Singleton: Yes, thank you. I’d like to address the Council to let you guys know... I eluded this
in my report, started to get a hint last week that some of our typical contractors that bid on
roadway projects were not showing interest in the Ronald Reagan pavement maintenance
project. I kind of confirmed that this morning, that the other two that I hadn’t spoken with also
had the same concerns. And so, at most we were only going to get one bid submitted today.
And so, I talked to them and encouraged them that you know, typically we don’t want to open
bids at one, and they hadn’t even confirmed that they were even going to submit a bid or not.
So, what the issue is, is we had a very small fraction of the big contract that we wanted to get
done this year and apparently there’s so much work happening in the Indianapolis regional
area, that contractors just did not want to sign up for any commitment to get something done
by the end of this year. There’s an advantage for us but it’s not really a requirement, it was
something that we wanted to do. So, what we’ll do is just kind of drop back and punt and we’ll
reissue this advertisement and no doubt, we’ll get more bids as we push all of this work into
the 2020 season. It won’t be too damaging from our planning perspective. But that’s what happened tonight, so we’ve got no bids and we’ll just try again later.

Mr. Brandgard: Okay, thank you.

Mr. Singleton: Thank you

Mr. Brandgard: I think this is the first time I’ve ever had a bid opening where there were no bids, but thank you.

BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR

Mr. Brandgard: We’ll go to business from the floor and I believe Lisa Bierman is here to talk to us about Bridlewood Estates and Bridlewood Reserve Issues.

Ms. Bierman: Hi, good evening. My name is Lisa Bierman and my address is 6218 South County Road 675 East, Plainfield, Indiana 46168. Thank you President Brandgard and Town Council for letting me jump on your agenda tonight, I promise to make this brief; I’ll watch the clock. I wanted to address you all this evening; I’ve been approached a couple of times by Westport Development to grant an easement of right-of-way for a road construction or some kind of a road development along the Westport Development; it’s Bridlewood, also know as Bridlewood Estates, and I think has recently been purchased by and is now known as Horton. This all came into play back way down in June 5, 2017 when 79 acres, called the Pray Family Farm, was annexed into the Town of Plainfield. Since then a development has ensued and there are a lot of homes and a lot of growth being developed on this property. I think it’s going to end up being 153 homes; three different phases and it’s all memorialized in the 2017, June 5th meeting minutes. I should note on page 15 of those meeting minutes, I went on record to voice my concerns. Since that time I’ve been in contact with Matt Dunn at Westport and also Scott Singleton with the Town, trying to get copies of what is being planned for the expansion of the road on 675 East, and to date, I haven’t received any copies or I’m told that plans or drafts, those plans are not available. Also, I would like to memorialize that on page 3 of the amendment to the Memorandum of Understanding that the Town has entered into with the development and the developers, it says on page 2-3, it goes through and memorializes the new agreement, and the MOU actually states, page 4, item d., that if the property owners on the west side do not agree to have a right-of-way, and they’ve given us like fair market value, they’ve said actually… and some of you may know and I don’t want to bore you but, they’re averaging the fair market price to be $35,000 per acre, and that’s actually for just farmland and just dirt land. I actually have a developed piece of real estate with landscaping, a paved driveway, so that’s really not comparing apples to apples. So, I wanted to bring that to your attention for you to take under advisement. In no way do I want to give any kind of right-of-way or easement or grant of right-of-way for the building of this road because I really feel like it’s the developer’s responsibility to have taken that into account, and the Town’s responsibility to take that into account before they ever approved this development. As most recently as on September 9th, I did receive a second copy of this memorandum that I believe is dated July 17th, and I received this on September 9th. Lucky for me that you’re having this meeting tonight because I was given until today to respond to the second pass from Horton or Westport, to let them know if I accept the second pass of the offer, which I do not. And then I did want to address the traffic and the safety concerns of the road and especially the water. So, I have made some copies of the water and some of the issues (See Exhibit A). In particular, on June 15th a rain of 1.44 inches over 72 hours and that created a huge flood. The flood in the property is also… I’ve got pictures if I may either approach or have those passed out to you, if that’s all right.

Mr. Brandgard: That’s fine.
Ms. Bierman: This is the National Weather Service documents that show...

Mr. Kirchoff: Are these all the same?

Ms. Bierman: Those are all the same, I made ten copies.

Mr. Kirchoff: Thank you

Ms. Bierman: These are... you can just take one and pass it down... these are pictures of the rain event on June 15th. The first handout was just the documentation; the second handout is the photographs; the third handout, it is the bridge that is definitely a safety issue; and then this fourth handout will actually show you a sprinkler system that has been placed on the east side of the road on 9/11. So, I just thought it might be helpful for you to have some takeaway to take this matter under further advisement because I continue to see the ongoing development on the east side of the road. It kind of shows clear to me that there may not be any plans for the expansion of the road to be on the east side. And it appears to me that, according to the amendment to the memorandum, that the Town is only wanting to obtain land on the west side of the road. The bottom line is that I wanted to address these concerns regarding what the Town plans to do, because I really am not clear on the plans. I would like to be informed if there is a formal plan in place for County Road 675 East, and how I would get proper notice; if I could be on some said list and get a copy of the design. It kind of boils down to logic for me; if Westport wants to take 35 feet from the middle of the road towards the west side, are they also considering to take 35 feet from the middle of the road to the east side? And from all of the construction that's going on out there, I don't see that. They're going to have to tear up a lot of their new development to do that. I have repeatedly asked for plans and I've been told that apparently those plans don't exist, or they haven't been developed yet. If not, that's fine but how do they come to the logic of wanting 35 feet to the west side of the road if they don't know where they're putting the road yet? One of the biggest concerns is you can see from all of the pictures, and that's just a handful pictures, post development runoff is supposed to be equal to or less than what is was before new development. And I know that engineers take some concern and care in design, creating those ponds to do that. I realize that storm intensity has increased over time, over the number of years, and I'm just super concerned that there might need to be a second look at what has been developed for the design of this entire development. Because with only getting 1.44 inches of rain on June 15th and having this amount of flooding... and it's all coming, like when there's new development, it's all going to come and there's only one little drain on the east side of the road and it's certainly not handling what's been developed. I'm unclear obviously, at what the Town plans to do, and how they plan to do it, but I wanted to address this today in a fashion... I think I've gone over my five minutes, but I'm willing to work with you in whatever ways we can to figure out these drainage issues for myself and my neighbors on the west side of the road, and help promote, you know, future growth. Plainfield is a great Town; I live near it and I'd like to partner with all of you to see if we can figure out how to fix these issues. So, thank you for your time.

Mr. Brandgard: Lisa, thank you for coming in and bringing your concerns to us. I'm not sure we have an answer of you at this moment.

Ms. Bierman: I understand that President Brandgard.

Mr. Brandgard: But we will get with you...

Ms. Bierman: Okay

Mr. Brandgard: ... and try and go through it. I think part of it, in general, when we do a fix or expand a road, we try and take equal amounts from both sides to put that road in, and we work to fix the drainage. My concern is the... it's been too long ago... but during that period we had a
lot of rain, over that period, and a lot of places flooded and accumulated water, including my backyard. But that’s another issue unto itself. But it’s... we need to take a look at that and get you an answer, and we will.

Ms. Bierman: Okay, I don’t think this incident was unprecedented, like a 100 year flood or anything, but if it’s this bad now, it’s not going to get any better. So again, thank you for your time, I hope we can work together on this.

Mr. Brandgard: All right

Ms. Bierman: Thank you

Mr. Brandgard: Again, thank you for coming in.

Ms. Bierman: Thank you

Mr. Brandgard: Is there any other business form the floor this evening? With no further business from the floor coming forward, we’ll go to staff reports.

STAFF REPORTS

Mr. Brandgard: We’ll start with Scott with Transportation.

Mr. Singleton: Yes, thank you. I do have one topic that I’d like to take a few minutes for this evening. I had it in my report so hopefully you all were able to look at the, what I call a draft memo. I’ve printed a new copy, a final memo and left it on your front desk up here. There have been no changes to that final memo. We met with INDOT after the draft had been uploaded and I got some feedback but nothing to change there, so what you saw over the weekend is exactly what I’m asking you to look at this evening. Before we look at that, one thing I didn’t have done at the time of your reporting was a document that we tried to summarize some of the impacts and the benefits and also the liabilities of our discussions about the Road Transfer Agreement with INDOT as part of Avon Avenue and SR 267. So, I left each of you a draft version of that. No doubt this needs to be looked at more closely by our Communication folks and things to make sure that what I’ve got there is clear for everyone. But I would like to at least review a couple of the high bullet points on there. I did make a presentation; a lot of this is very similar to the presentation I offered about a month ago as part of a work session. If you look down at some of these benefits, the top four points are kind of what I want to home in on. That top point, as part of a road transfer agreement, INDOT is essentially offering the Town about $20.5 million that we can use for projects. That $20.5 million, as we’ve talked about before, is generated based off of maintenance, some projects, and some preservation type projects... somewhere in between the capital type project and just a standard maintenance. So, they’ve summed all of those up and they’ve come to that $20.5 million in today’s dollars that we can take for projects. We are best served financially by finding a way to use that for projects and finding alternative sources for some of the maintenance projects that are going to come along with any kind of road transfer agreement. So, that is kind of the path that we’re recommending and setting for this agreement to take shape. A couple of those key projects that we’ve talked about, that I’ll just reiterate, are potential intersection improvement at County Road 300 South and Avon Avenue. As I’m sure most of you know, that’s a four-way signalized intersection today; no turn lanes. We would look to invest some of that money to do some intersection improvements there. And then the other key location that we would look to make improvements are adding capacity at the SR 276 and Hadley Road intersection. So, two of those projects that are on State routes today, but the reality is, those projects don’t elevate in a state competitive sense to a point where they’re on the State’s long-term planning. So, they’ve looked at them; they’ve decided hey, if we were to do these projects, this is what we’d spend, here’s the money Town of Plainfield, you guys can go do these projects. If we don’t do...
relinquish our Road Transfer Agreement, then those projects sit in the State’s que and they kind of have to score competitively against other State dollars for them to elevate to where they would actually do those projects. Currently, they’re beyond the five-year Transportation plan. So, I can tell you for sure that INDOT has no plans within the next five years to do those projects. This is an opportunity for us to improve some local efficiencies and traffic needs by accepting this offer. The other items there; INDOT has committed to working closely with us as they modify the I-67/SR 267 Interchange that is scheduled for update in about 10 plus years. So, we thought that was a key commitment from them to make sure we’re not taking on too much of a burden and a risk at those two intersections. And then I would also point out, if we decide to accept the transfer of SR 267 into the Town, that roadway becomes our jurisdiction, which makes it eligible for projects to run through the MPO funding as well as the Community Crossing. So MPO, Town project, that works on an 80/20 split where 80% of the dollars are federal and 20% would be from the Town. Because it’s an arterial roadway, it’s likely to score high. It has never been introduced to the MPO because it’s a State highway, but we would obviously change that if that were to move into our jurisdiction. And then of course, we’re all familiar with the Community Crossings processes where we can get a 50/50 split. So, even though there’s going to be some obligations and liabilities with this road, there’s also going to become more opportunities to fund other improvements beyond the initial $20.5 million that the State is offering. So, moving down... you know, there are some other bullet points on there about level of service and maintenance and turning it into Quaker Boulevard and our plans for additional landscaping and utilities. But then also, down at the bottom, I’ve done some high level estimates on what we think would be involved on the maintenance side, particularly for the DPW guys, so kind of an immediate need to invest in some snowplows and some improved lawn mowing equipment, maybe some extra staff. And then, that added annual maintenance cost, it’s a bit salty. I feel like I’m being very conservative, but I did my best based off of past certification submissions of centerline miles to kind of estimate what we might spend on that every year. It takes a long time to get to that $20.5 million that they’re offering, but it is a burden that’s going to happen every year and at the end of the day, this is going to be about $28 million worth of pavement that we own, that would need replaced at some time. Again, going back to those 80/20, 50/50, those obligations might not fall 100% on us, but something to certainly be aware of. So that being the case, what I’ve put together as far as the staff memo, and what I’m going to ask from you this evening, is whether you all would like to take action on kind of approving staff to move forward with finalizing an agreement with INDOT, with the general terms that have been outlined on that memo. Staff has discussed it; we feel like it’s as good of an offer as we can expect to get from INDOT for this process. As I understand things, this has been discussed for 10-12 years and this is a much better offer than where we were back then. And in talking with them, I think we’ve definitely made some ground. I’m happy to take any questions. Again, this would only be taking some action to push this further down toward a final agreement; it’s not entering into a final agreement at this time, it’s just kind of setting that stage and giving INDOT some confidence on where we’re heading because right now they’re spending money on projects that are maybe going to get transferred to the Town, as far as some planned maintenance and those types of things.

Mr. Brandgard: Bill, what are your thoughts?

Mr. Kirchoff: Well, we met more than once on this and I think Scott said it well, if we’re going to do this, I think this is probably the best deal we’re going to get. One of the things I... you know, sometimes you have one of those “aha” experiences; in our conference call the other day it kind of hit me; understanding this is $20.5 million for road projects, future road projects that we would be building, and I simply said, “Well, when do you write us a check?” And that’s not the way it works. We have road projects (inaudible) outside the normal process of asking for MPO money; it’s almost a direct link through INDOT to federal funding, so to me, that’s a real plus. We never have enough money for all of our road projects and as we think about I-70, south of I-70 and some of that, this could accelerate some of those projects that we’ve been
thinking about. Now obviously, one of the downsides is we need to find sources for some of these maintenance costs that we would be facing, that we don’t have today.

Mr. Bridget: Yes, but the issues that we have at Hadley Road and what we have on Avon Avenue, which need addressing now, he’s saying that they’re not even on their radar for five years.

Mr. Kirchoff: Exactly

Mr. McPhail: In Scott’s memo he says, “exceptions compared to a typical town jurisdiction situation includes, INDOT retains legal title to the real estate.”

Mr. Kirchoff: Yes, they still control access...

Mr. McPhail: And they retain control over existing access right-of-way on SR 267. How does that work from a legal standpoint?

Mr. Kirchoff: Well, because they bought that right-of-way with federal funds, they cannot give up jurisdiction to it for access.

Mr. Brandgard: Yeah

Mr. Kirchoff: Right?

Mr. Singleton: That is correct.

Mr. Kirchoff: That was another “aha” I had.

Mr. McPhail: I mean, it just doesn’t sound right but...

Mr. Singleton: I will add, they’ve been somewhat open that through this process, there’s likely more leeway to be conceded for request. We still have to reply and make the requests just like we would if they owned the highway, but we’re likely to get more reception from the feds and things, if this is in our jurisdiction. Nothing promised, but...

Mr. McPhail: I mean, it just looked odd when I read that.

Mr. Singleton: Sure

Mr. Kirchoff: You’re hitting on the same points we did.

Mr. Brandgard: Yep

Mr. McPhail: But you know, some of those projects on that roadway, we need to be done yesterday and if they’re not on their radar, maybe we can move forward and get some of them done. And the funds are not just restricted to this project, right? If we’ve got another road project...

Mr. Kirchoff: Yeah, we’ve already had conversations about projects we... well, let me say it two ways Kent; we’ve already started having conversations about which projects we think we ought to get in the pipeline. Now, you might want to talk a little bit about the timing; we can’t frontload all of this; they don’t have $20.5 million sitting there waiting for us to go do it. They have, you know, a three-year plan, five-year, seven-year plan...

Mr. McPhail: Yeah, it was three to seven years.
Mr. Singleton: Yeah, I think the shortest distance you can get through a process through a federal aid style project is going to be about three years. So, what we'll have options to do, maybe accelerate projects this relinquished money is geared towards or being provided for with local funds and then we can take the federal/the state money and apply them to other projects that aren't as time sensitive and that may be better managed through the federal aid requirements. And so, that's a conversation that we still need to work through, and that's what INDOT is looking for us to give them as feedback as part of the final agreement, which would indicate when the funds would be expected on their part, because obviously they have to put that into their five year planning.

Mr. Bridget: And the thing that you're asking us for tonight is our consent for you to move forward?

Mr. Singleton: Yeah, just consent to move forward with INDOT; let them know that in general, whether or not the Town is supportive in working towards the final agreement with the context that's kind of laid out. They've seen this...

Mr. Kirchoff: Conceptually

Mr. Singleton: Conceptually, right.

Mr. Angle: What is the timing? So, let's say you do get consent tonight...

Mr. Singleton: We're meeting with INDOT on October 25th. At that meeting they are expecting us to be able to provide a list of projects and timelines that we want to see this money put towards. Again, not necessarily these projects that I've laid out to you. So, we've got a lot of kind of strategy to come up with on how best to apply these funds in only a little over a month here.

Mr. Angle: We're not held to that list though, are we? Would we be held to the list?

Mr. Singleton: Well, we'd probably be held to, you know, some variations throughout there. They don't really care what we spend the money on, they just need to know from their budgets, where they're going to insert certain amounts of dollars over the years. And they have to go directly to a project. And so that's kind of what Bill is getting at; we're still working in that federal aid, they can't cut us a check...

Mr. Angle: Oh, I understand, I was just talking about timing and then you threw something in about having a list in 30 days and then I'm held for 5-7 years on that list. I just want to be clear, it's a placeholder. If four years from now you say, well, it's actually not the project B I gave you October 25, 2019, it's project C, which is a little different... They're not going to say no, because it doesn't meet the same exact same thing you provided on October 25th.

Mr. Singleton: I don't think so, but...

Mr. Angle: I cleared that up

Mr. Klinger: There's a process that would have to be pursued to make an amendment and to make a change, but I'm not sure why they would care that much if we wanted to make a change.

Mr. Kirchoff: Well, but...

Mr. Klinger: But there would be a process we would have to go through.
Mr. Kirchoff: If it’s a three-year process to go through once we submit a request. That request is going to be starting through and we wouldn’t want to start over again. Is that what you’re asking?

Mr. Angle: Well, I mean, maybe we do. Yes, we would absolutely start the process with what we know today.

Mr. Kirchoff: Right

Mr. Angle: But, two and a half years from now, things could have changed dramatically.

Mr. Kirchoff: I understand

Mr. Angle: Whether it be funding wise, priority wise, whatever the case may be. So, I would think that the Council and the Town would want to know that there is some flexibility with that process.

Mr. Singleton: We can stress that type of language to work into the agreement.

Mr. Angle: Okay

Mr. Singleton: INDOT’s expectation is that we’ll have an idea for the projects that we’re going to use this funding for. That doesn’t mean that it can’t change.

Mr. Kirchoff: I wish we could bring that kind of project list back to the Council in the next few weeks to say this is what we think we are going to be recommending. I mean, that’s...

Mr. Singleton: Of course

Mr. Angle: But roughly $20 million, as far as road projects go, that’s like a drop in the bucket, frankly. So, continue with the timeline... October 25th is the day that you’re providing, you know, move forward...

Mr. Singleton: So, their indication has been that they would like to get to an agreement by the end of this year. We are at least a month...

Mr. Angle: Responsibility change January 1, 2020?

Mr. Singleton: Jason was asking me that same thing and I regret I haven’t asked that question. My impression would be it’s likely to happen at their fiscal year, which is the end of June.

Mr. Angle: Okay

Mr. Singleton: I have not gotten that clarified. The agreement would go...

Mr. Kirchoff: We did have that done in a prior conversation; it is July 1.

Mr. Angle: Okay gotcha.

Mr. Singleton: I want to make sure, yeah.

Mr. Angle: Just need to confirm it, yeah.

Mr. Singleton: Yeah, exactly.

Mr. Angle: Okay, great. Thank you.
Mr. Kirchoff: And the other thing too now, on these items on the bottom of the list, if we want to use some of the $20.5 million for that, then we don’t have the full $20.5 million for road projects. They would adjust... that’s an NPV number, isn’t it?

Mr. Singleton: Correct, the $20.5 million, every time you draw out of that, you’re likely to see less cash...

Mr. Kirchoff: That was part of our conversation the other day. I said, “so, you’ll help us get our snowplow,” and blah, blah. They said yes, however, the overall number then goes down. Which is fair.

Mr. Angle: That makes sense. Great, thank you.

Mr. Kirchoff: If we could find other sources for that, then we could get the full $20.5 million for road projects. Fair?

Mr. Singleton: Fair

Mr. McPhail: There’s no indexing for inflation, I assume...

Mr. Angle: Money today

Mr. Singleton: Money today, money tomorrow. It’s the same dollars over this 3-5 year...

Mr. Angle: One time value there.

Mr. Singleton: Yep

Mr. Brandgard: Well with that, do we have consent to move ahead with comments?

(Consent is given)

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you, Scott.

Mr. Singleton: Thank you


Ms. Singh: I have something.

Mr. Brandgard: I was hoping you’d have something.

Ms. Singh: I’ll make it quick. As you saw in my report, Main Street Plainfield is asking for permission to use the First National Bank parking lot on Thursday October 31st for a “Trunk or Treat” event. They have already gotten with the bank to get their agreement on the first row or two rows that the bank uses and they are in agreement, so they are just asking for permission from the Town to let them use our leased parking spots for a “Trunk or Treat” event on Thursday October 31st.

Mr. Brandgard: Consent?

Mr. Angle: Yeah, I’m okay as long as the Chamber doesn’t need it for anything, I guess.

Mr. DuBois: Yeah, I had already asked on behalf of Main Street. So yeah, it’s no problem.
Mr. Angle: Oh, great.

(Consent is given)

Ms. Singh: And I just want to remind everyone, October 5th is the International Festival at Talon Stream and then tomorrow is the second Newcomer’s meeting 6:00 p.m. at Swinford Park.

Mr. Brandgard: All right, cool.

Ms. Singh: Thank you

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you. Did I miss any of the staff? If not, Brad, anything from the Chamber?

Mr. DuBois: Yeah so, we had a parade this weekend. I know a lot of you were there; we had a good time. I think the public loved it. It was record crowds I think, I don’t think I’ve ever seen that many people in the downtown area. You know, I think everything went pretty much without a hitch. We had a few minor little things but that was a learning curve. Great fly over; I know a lot of you were there and I just wanted to express some gratitude to some of those folks. I’ve got my Board Chair here with me, Rick Shaw; he’s got a couple presentations he’d like to make, but before he does that, I’d like to thank a couple people myself. First, Duke Energy for letting us use their lot, that was absolutely outstanding to have all of that room to do all of that; stage the parade.

Mr. Brandgard: While you’re there I just want to say, I haven’t seen the electricity that being in that lot generated.

Mr. Kirchoff: No pun intended.

Mr. Brandgard: No pun intended.

Mr. DuBois: No pun intended.

Mr. Brandgard: Compared to staging at the school.

Mr. DuBois: Yeah

Mr. Brandgard: I mean, there was a level of excitement that I had not seen in years, so this was good.

Mr. DuBois: And that was from one end of the Town to another; that was not just in that lot. I mean, the people, it was just amazing. First and foremost, the Plainfield Police Department, we could not have done it without their help they did an absolutely outstanding job keeping everybody safe. Town Council; thank you guys for allowing us to do it. I mean, I think you know, the public has been after me for the last seven or eight years to do this and we finally got it pulled off and hopefully we can do it again next year, we’ll see how it goes. DPW did a great job getting out those barricades and getting out those electronic signs to let people know ahead of time that this was all going to happen. There were probably still a few that were a little angry that they couldn’t get through Town, but you know, they were just visiting Town and going through anyway, so we only inconvenienced them for a couple of hours; not that big a deal. Plainfield Fire Department; they were there right along with us to help guard those intersections, especially at the one end of Main Street, really appreciate that. And then all of the volunteers that we had; we had an extraordinary amount of volunteers to help that day. Everything came together, I mean, the Committee, Rick, Joanna, a lot of people worked very, very hard to get this to happen. It couldn’t have been done without a team effort. With that, I’m going to turn it over to Rick because I know he’s got a few things he’s like to say. So, thank you very much.
Mr. Brandgard: Thank you

Mr. Shaw: Well first, I want to thank you. We came to you last May and asked for your blessing and permission and I want to thank you for trusting us to pull it off. I told several of you that I wouldn’t let you down and when I got to the top of the hill, Hobbs Hill, looking down into the Town, I’ve never seen crowds like that, and I’ve grown up here. It was just very impressive, and it was better than I expected. Like Brad said, we couldn’t have done this without several groups help. I created an award called The Chamber Excellence Award; I’ve presented them here before; but this one goes to...

For the many contributions to the Greater Chamber of Plainfield Commerce, specifically keeping everyone safe during the 47th Annual Quaker Day Parade, we were fortunate to have the entire police force providing leadership and maintaining a safe and organized environment for our community as the parade returned to U.S. 40 Main Street. Without their support we could not host this event for the enjoyment of the entire Plainfield community. Thank you for keeping us safe.

Anytime you pull off something like this, you have one hero. This is for his many contributions to the Plainfield Chamber of Commerce, specifically the planning, education, and implementing the incident accident plan for the 47th Annual Quaker Day Parade. Deputy Chief Prewitt constructed a detailed action plan with a level of cooperation and organization we have never experienced. His efforts provided a safe and organized environment for our community as the parade returned to U.S. 40 Main Street. Without your support, we could never host an event like this for our entire community. Thank you for keeping us safe.

One more; when I designed this award when I became Board Chairman, I intended it to be a one time award where you were noted and appreciated for your actions that helped the Chamber and helped our community, but there is a group that just keeps coming back, so this is for their many contributions to the Plainfield Chamber of Commerce; specifically providing the traffic barriers and all of the critical traffic intersections during the 47th Annual Quaker Day Parade. We were fortunate to have them helping us provide a safe environment for our citizens and parade attendees. Without their support, we couldn’t host an event like this of this size. Thank you to the Plainfield Department of Public Works.

Mr. Brandgard: Before you leave, I have to say something about Rick. He took this on this year, and he was like a bulldog chasing this and making it happen. I can’t express the appreciation enough for what he and his organization pulled off. I also want to congratulate Joanna Sproull from the downtown merchants for getting them together and making them work and do something. Again, I appreciate the efforts we’re making, and it’s showing. My grandson had a soccer game Saturday afternoon and when I was leaving at about 3:30 p.m., driving down Main Street I turned to my wife and said, “Man, I haven’t seen this many people walking down Main Street at this time of the day in years.” I saw that; drove by the Friends Church and all of the booths still busy, and the car show. So, I can’t say enough about the efforts you did, I think the whole community gained from it. So again, thank you.

TOWN MANAGER’S REPORT

Mr. Brandgard: Do we have a Town Manager’s report?

Mr. Klinger: Yes indeed, and we’re going to continue this parade. I won’t talk a lot about the parade because a lot has already been shared and I don’t have fancy words like Mr. Shaw does, but I did want to mention a few people that really helped to pull this off from the Town’s perspective. Typically, of course, the Town has always been heavily involved with the parade
through the Police Department, Fire Department, DPW, Parks Department has typically had a float in the parade, but for the first time, at least in a long time, I think, the Town submitted a general float as part of the...

Mr. Brandgard: I believe that was the first time.

Mr. Klinger: Maybe the first time ever, I don’t know all of the history. So, I wanted to thank some of those folks who helped put that float together. First of all, it looked fantastic; it was amazing. It fit in well with the theme and also, I think, helped start to promote our International Festival. First Stephanie, I guess, in terms of just the idea and coordinating and working on it. Melanie Noble and her whole family helped with putting that thing together. Brandi Bates and her family also heavily involved in putting that thing together. Scott Slavens, Mark and Linda helped with putting that together. Shannon Swan; actually, I think Mr. Shaw even helped with that as well. Chase, thank you very much. And I’m probably going to forget people here, so I apologize. Also, just in terms of walking the parade; all of those folks, I think, participated; we had Will from IT, Chrissy, Nate was there, we had two Scott S’s, we had Scott Singleton was there and Scott Slavens came and walked with us. Paula; Gary helped to drive and to steer us down the road. We had some folks from our Citizens Academy that showed up. Mr. Bridget was riding a bike over here. So, it was just again, like I said, I probably missed some people, but thank you to everybody who helped to participate on the Town’s float and make it a great occasion. And commenting on what Rick said, just coming down over the hill and looking into Downtown, what an amazing sight. I had to stop and take pictures and I would imagine I was not the only one who kind of had to stop at that point and... probably slowed the parade down, but you know, you see that view of all of those people into Downtown and it was amazing. I know a lot of those pictures are on Facebook and getting posted on social media. If you haven’t seen them, go check them out. It was a great event. A couple of other things I want to mention while I’m thanking people; Jason and the Department of Public Works hosted our Citizens Academy last week. They provided a plant tour of the sewer plant and as always, did a fantastic job with talking with our Citizens Academy folks and explaining to them what the Department of Public Works does and showing them the plant. It’s funny that that is always one of the favorites. You know, when I talk to people who came through it last year, they said that was their favorite session; getting the sewer plant tour.

Mr. Castetter: We gave them a Tootsie Roll.

Mr. Klinger: The Tootsie Roll, the snacks at the end of the tour are a crowd favorite. So, thank you Jason and make sure you pass that on to your folks. We appreciate the job they did there. One other thing really quick is; the Youth Assistance Program. We’ve been talking about this for some time; partnership with the schools and the County. That person has been hired, Amy Boyles. We set aside some office space at Town Hall to help get her started and she showed up today. So, she is getting started; she’s actually been getting started, she’s been talking to people for the last couple of weeks, I think. But she showed up and was in the office today.

Mr. Kirchoff: I spent some time with her today, and she was kind of sharing with me what she learned in Hamilton County and the way they’ve done it and she is super excited. We’re going to do great things with the kids of Plainfield. She again, very, very complimentary of the Council and the support. She just can’t wait to get started because she just knows it’s going to be a great thing for the kids, and that says a lot.

Mr. Klinger: Yeah, we’re very excited to have her at Town Hall and we’ll support her however we can to make sure that she’s successful. And then a couple of business items; we had a long work session where we talked about a couple of major projects going on in Town and I just need to bring up a couple of action items that came out of the discussion. First of all, in terms of the Town Hall and Arts Center project; staff is requesting approval of Ratio’s supplement #3, seeking permission to give them notice to proceed on Design Development. I believe all of the
materials were in the box and available for your review. I believe the Design Development phase between fees and potential refundable expenses, it amounted to about $711,000 and we would recommend moving forward with that at this point.

Mr. McPhail: I would move that we approve the request to move forward with Ratio on the government center and the fine arts center.

Mr. Klinger: Thank you

Mr. Bridget: I second that.

Mr. Brandgard: We have a motion and a second to approve to move forward with Ratio supplement #3 for design work for the government center and fine arts center. If there’s no further discussion, we’ll have a roll call vote.

Mr. Todisco: Mr. Bridget- yes
Mr. Angle- yes
Mr. Kirchoff- yes
Mr. McPhail- yes
Mr. Brandgard- yes

Motion had been approved.

Mr. Klinger: All right, and then also on that project, Stephanie has prepared a press release, I think, that was presented to the Council at the last meeting and also a facts sheet that was in the box in preparation for this meeting. Now, we may need to make some minor tweaks based on comments earlier. Things like making it say, “subject to change” and making sure that we have the right terminology in there, but we would seek permission to move forward with issuing that press release and starting to publicize what we’re doing with that project.

Mr. Brandgard: Do we have consent?

(Consent is given)

Mr. Klinger: Okay. Then the other project was the Higher Education project; essentially, in the same stage of development. So, the staff is prepared to recommend that we move forward with Part 2 of CSO’s proposal for professional services. And again, give notice to proceed on the Design Development phase of the project. I believe that the fees for the Design Development phase, under their proposal, was $360,000. And again, staff would recommend that the Council approve that so that we may move forward with Design Development of the Higher Education Center.

Mr. Kirchoff: So moved

Mr. Angle: I’ll second

Mr. Brandgard: We have a motion and a second to approve moving forward with CSO and Design Development for the Higher Education Center, part 2 and approval of notice to proceed. If there’s no further discussion, roll call vote please.

Mr. Todisco: Mr. Bridget- yes
Mr. Angle- yes
Mr. Kirchoff- yes
Mr. McPhail- yes
Mr. Brandgard- yes

Motion has been approved.

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you

Mr. Klinger: And then I can’t wait for Tim to come back from vacation already, so I don’t have to talk so much. That’s all I have.

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you

OLD BUSINESS

Mr. Brandgard: Kent, old business?

Mr. Todisco: I just wanted to mention, as was reported in Andrew’s report, we just conducted a second audit in the 24 months that I’ve been here; and we’re actually current, up to date, through 2018. What I think I’m excited about is that we’re set for projects going forward as we handle the S&P calls for future Bonds and so forth, which will put us at a good advantage. So, I just wanted to mention that.

Mr. Brandgard: That’s good, thank you.

Mr. Kirchoff: I still need to know how to find my Form 1’s, Steve, for the budget. How do I get to Form 1’s?

Mr. Dyson: Do you have access to the “T drive”, the share drive?

Mr. Kirchoff: I don’t know

Mr. Klinger: Is it all in the Gateway system at this point? It’s not to the level of detail that he’s looking for, is it?

Mr. Brandgard: Somebody ought to get with Bill and see if we can get through it.

Mr. Kirchoff: And then, is there a staffing recap someplace that we can take a look at, proposed staffing?

Mr. Dyson: We can update the one we have (inaudible)

Mr. Kirchoff: Okay, that would be helpful. Thank you. That’s all I have.

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you

NEW BUSINESS

Mr. Brandgard: New business?

RESOLUTIONS

Mr. Brandgard: We have two Resolutions this evening. The first is Resolution No. 2019-41 – A Resolution Setting Forth Final Action in Determining that the Qualifications for an Economic Revitalization Area have been met, Approving Real Property Tax Abatement and Confirming
Resolution No. 2019-40 Regarding Rock Creek Partners, LLC, FBO Ambrose and/or Related Entities. I’ll entertain a motion to approve said Resolution.

Mr. Angle: Move to approve

Mr. Kirchoff: Second

Mr. Brandgard: We have a motion and a second to approve the reading of Resolution No. 2019-41. If there’s no further discussion, roll call vote please.

Mr. Todisco: Mr. Bridget- yes
Mr. Angle- yes
Mr. Kirchoff- yes
Mr. McPhail- yes
Mr. Brandgard- yes

Plainfield Town Council Resolution No. 2019-41 is adopted.

Mr. Brandgard: Okay, thank you. We also have Resolution No. 2019-42 — A Resolution Confirming Interest by the Town in the Purchase of Real Estate – Willman Drive.

Mr. Bridget: Move to approve

Mr. McPhail: Second

Mr. Brandgard: We have a motion and a second to approve Resolution No. 2019-42. If there’s no further discussion, roll call vote please.

Mr. Todisco: Mr. Bridget- yes
Mr. Angle- yes
Mr. Kirchoff- yes
Mr. McPhail- yes
Mr. Brandgard- yes


Mr. Brandgard: Thank you

ORDINANCES

Mr. Brandgard: We also have three Ordinances. The first is a Single Reading of Ordinance No. 22-2019 — An Ordinance Approving Additional Appropriations – Miracle Fields/ Bicentennial Park/I-70 TIF.

Mr. Angle: Move to approve

Mr. Bridget: Second

Mr. Brandgard: We have a motion and a second to approve the Single Reading of Ordinance No. 22-2019. If there’s no further discussion, roll call vote please.
Mr. Todisco:  Mr. Bridget- yes
Mr. Angle- yes
Mr. Kirchoff- yes
Mr. McPhail- yes
Mr. Brandgard- yes

Single Reading of Plainfield Ordinance No. 22-2019 is approved.

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you

Mr. Kirchoff: On the next one; Lance asked earlier, for the Appropriations and Taxes; I cannot open it either, Lance.

Mr. Angle: I have a hard copy; I'd be happy to share it with you.

Mr. Kirchoff: Okay, and it's just First Reading so between now and the next meeting... yeah, it just won't open.

Mr. Angle: It wouldn't open for you either?

Mr. Kirchoff: Sorry

Mr. Brandgard: Okay. We have Ordinance No. 23 – Appropriations and Tax Rates for 2020. It's the First Reading.

Mr. McPhail: Move to approve

Mr. Angle: I read the hard copy, I'll second.

Mr. Brandgard: We have a motion and a second to approve the First Reading of Ordinance No. 23-2019. If there's no further discussion, roll call vote please.

Mr. Todisco:  Mr. Bridget- yes
Mr. Angle- yes
Mr. Kirchoff- yes
Mr. McPhail- yes
Mr. Brandgard- yes

First Reading of Plainfield Ordinance No. 23-2019 is approved.

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you. We also have for the First Reading Ordinance No. 24-2019 An Ordinance Establishing a Hospitality District Fund.

Mr. Angle: Mr. President, I have a quick question on that. Can you remind me how the District Boundaries were set? I don't recall ever having any conversations about that.

Mr. Klinger: I'm not sure that we had any conversations about it.

Mr. Angle: Well there you go.
Mr. Klinger: I worked through the Development Services Department to identify essentially, the four quadrants of the interchange and include property that... most of the property to the north is already developed, but making sure that we include the undeveloped parcels as well as undeveloped parcels on the southeast and southwest quadrants of that interchange. So, that's pretty much it. We had Development Services kind of draw that up and cleared it through legal. If there are parcels that you want to amend or add additional parcels, or take things out, we can talk about it, yeah.

Mr. Angle: Sounds good, thank you.

Mr. McPhail: I move to approve the First Reading.

Mr. Angle: I'll second.

Mr. Brandgard: We have a motion and a second to approve the First Reading of Ordinance No. 24-20. If there's no further discussion, roll call vote please.

Mr. Todisco:  
Mr. Bridget- yes  
Mr. Angle- yes  
Mr. Kirchoff- yes  
Mr. McPhail- yes  
Mr. Brandgard- yes

First Reading of Plainfield Ordinance No. 24-20 is approved.

COUNCIL COMMENTS

Mr. Brandgard: Is there anything else to come before the Council this evening?

ADJOURN

Mr. Brandgard: I'd entertain a motion to sign the documents requiring signature and adjourn.

Mr. Angle: So moved

Mr. McPhail: Second

Mr. Brandgard: All those in favor signify by aye.

(All ayes)

Mr. Brandgard: Opposed? Motion carried, thank you.

Robin G. Brandgard, President

Mark J. Todisco, Clerk-Treasurer
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