

**PLAINFIELD TOWN COUNCIL**

**April 13, 2020**

**7:00 p.m.**

Mr. Brandgard: The Plainfield Town Council meeting for Monday, April 13, 2020 is now in session.

**ROLL CALL TO DETERMINE QUORUM**

Mr. Brandgard: Let the record show that all members are present.

**CONSENT AGENDA**

Mr. Brandgard: We have a few items on the consent agenda this evening.

1. Approval of the Minutes of the Town Council meetings of March 17 and March 23, 2020.
2. Approval of the Human Resources Report dated April 7, 2020.
3. Allow to Stand the Executive Order No. 2020-03 of the Town Council President as issued on March 24, 2020, per the Town Manager's Report.
4. Approval to cancel the Public Hearing for Additional Appropriations for the Local Road & Bridge Matching Fund and the US40 TIF Fund, which was scheduled for April 13, 2020, due to COVID19 Pandemic, per the Town Manager's Report.
5. Approval of a Contract with Johnson-Melloh for HVAC Preventative Maintenance for Plainfield Fire Territory Station 121, per the Fleet & Facilities Report (Funded from Fire Territory Operating Budget)
6. Approval to Advertise for a Request for Proposal and Qualifications for Intersection Improvements at East Street and Main Street utilizing Build-Operate-Transfer provisions as described in IC 5-23, per the Development Services Report.
7. Approval of a Lease Agreement between the Town and Crown Castle regarding an existing Cell Tower located on Town-owned Land South of I-70, subject to legal review, per the Development Services Report.
8. Approval of an Interlocal Cooperation Agreement with Hendricks County related to Roadway Improvements to support the new Guilford Elementary School and the widening of CR 675 E, per the Development Services Report.
9. Approval of a Contract for Professional Services for a not to exceed amount of \$109,380.00 with Butler, Fairman, & Seufert to provide Construction Inspection Services for the Ronald Reagan Pavement Preservation Project, per the Development Services Report. (Funded from Six Points TIF)
10. Approval of Amendment to Agreement #1 totaling \$23,844.98 for Banning Engineering's existing Contract for Professional Services for the widening of CR 675 E, to provide Expanded Services for Right-of-Way Acquisitions, per the Development Services Report. (Funded from LOIT)

Are there any changes?

Mr. Daniel: Robin, this is Mel.

Mr. Brandgard: Yes

Mr. Daniel: I just wanted to comment on the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement with the County. That can still be approved on the consent agenda, but the agreement itself changed in accounting late today. So, I've also provided Andrew with a Resolution and the revised

Interlocal Cooperation Agreement, which we can add on to the Resolution part, but that can still be approved.

Mr. Brandgard: Okay, thank you. Again, are there any changes or amendments to the consent agenda? If not, I'd entertain a motion...

Mr. Angle: Mr. President, this is Mr. Angle.

Mr. Brandgard: Yes

Mr. Angle: Just really quick, I noticed that in the minutes for March 17, 2020, that our legal counsel did not have his mic on, and there were quite a few "inaudibles". How can we capture that from previous meetings when it doesn't get recorded in the minutes?

Mr. Todisco: We'll have to go back and look at that; I haven't seen those areas.

Mr. Brandgard: Lance, which meeting was that, the 17<sup>th</sup> or the 23<sup>rd</sup>?

Mr. Angle: March 17, 2020. Our legal counsel's mic wasn't on, so there are a very large number of "inaudibles" in the minutes. So, I will make a motion to approve, pending updates to those minutes for March 17, 2020.

Mr. Kirchoff: Second

Mr. Brandgard: We have a motion and a second to approve the consent agenda, pending the March 17<sup>th</sup> "inaudibles" being resolved in the minutes. Could I have a roll call vote on that, please?

- Mr. Todisco: Mr. Bridget- yes
- Mr. Angle- yes
- Mr. Kirchoff- yes
- Mr. McPhail- yes
- Mr. Brandgard- yes

Plainfield Town Council consent agenda for 04/13/2020 is adopted, pending the correction of "inaudibles" for 03/17.

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you

**TOWN MANAGER'S REPORT**

Mr. Brandgard: Do we have a Town Manager's report?

Mr. Klinger: So, I find it a COVID-19 situation report as well as with my Town Manager's report in the box. And so, I'm, not going to talk a lot about that other than – I had mentioned to Bill and Robin earlier today that based on some of the projections that I've been following, it seems to suggest that Indiana has hit the peak number of cases and peak facility usage as far as hospital beds and ICU beds. However, if anybody listened to the Governor today, they are saying that their Indiana specific data suggests that there may still be a surge coming in the next week or so. And so, we'll continue to track the data and see where that goes. But we are hoping that maybe the worst is passing here; that the things that we've been doing from a physical distancing standpoint has had a significant impact. And so, we'll continue to track that. One related item to that: I had intended – I've been working with Chief McKee and Interim Fire Chief Anderson and Nate and I, going through our Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan. I've brought this up before; it was my intent to have something for you today, but I realized this morning that that did not make its way into the box there. So, I did provide you all with a copy

of that plan earlier today, but we're not asking for any action on that today; we'll bring it back at the next Council meeting. So, look for that at the next Council meeting in the next couple of weeks. As Mel already mentioned, on this Interlocal Agreement that you just approved as part of the consent agenda – the Interlocal Agreement itself, as Mel pointed out, it needs to be approved by the bodies of both entities by Resolutions, so we actually have – it's not appearing on your agenda, but I would ask you to consider Resolution No. 20-2020 that basically – and I can just read it here. It says, "It is resolved that this Resolution and the attached Interlocal Cooperation Agreement is approved and will become effective upon its adoption by the Town Council on April 13, 2020 and adoption by Hendricks County." So, it's basically just another step in the process to make sure that we're dotting our "I's" and crossing our "T's" in terms of approval of the Interlocal. My understanding is that there is a joint Commissioner's County Council meeting coming up soon and we will make sure that we have representation at that meeting to explain this with them and that they will consider this at their next meeting, I hope. So, Robin, if you would add that, if the Council is willing, add that to the agenda here as Resolution No. 20-2020. And then two other quick things. One is – so, I know it's hard to imagine Fourth of July fireworks, but we are hopeful that we are going to be largely passed all of this COVID-19 situation and at least be able to put on a fireworks show in some form or matter. Maybe people will have to wear their patriotic masks or something like that, I don't know. We don't really know what that situation is, but I will say this, the Township has entered into an agreement with the provider. Typically, the Town has a share in that cost with the Township and so, I'm just looking for some – probably just consent to be able to work with the Township and contribute to that effort in the way that we normally would. I think they're just looking for some reassurance that that's what we're going to do again this year. And so, unless there's any protest, that's what we will do, just give them the reassurance that we will participate again this year and we all hope that we'll be able to fully celebrate the Fourth of July as we always have. Then finally is an issue – Robin, I see you talking, but I can't hear you.

Mr. Brandgard: I was muted, have you got me now? We'll have to go around this way. Kent, consent?

Mr. McPhail: I was muted also, but yes, consent to share with the fireworks.

Mr. Brandgard: Lance?

Mr. Angle: Yeah, I'll provide consent, but I do have a question about the type of termination language, right. The fiscal responsibility that we're looking at right now, we want to make sure that we've got the opportunity to be flexible. So, I'd like to make sure that happens.

Mr. Brandgard: Okay, Dan?

Mr. Bridget: Consent

Mr. Brandgard: Bill?

Mr. Kirchoff: Consent

Mr. Brandgard: We have consent, we just need to make sure we've got the fiscal liabilities in place.

Mr. Klinger: Yeah, we have a copy of the agreement that the Township's entered into and we can look into that and make sure – really, for us, I don't know that there's any legal commitment at this point, but we do want to, like I said, the plan right now is to have the Fourth of July fireworks as we normally would. And so, we just want to be able to kind of proceed down that path at this point.

Mr. Kirchoff: Andrew, did they give you any estimate of how much cost?

Mr. Klinger: It is – do you remember, Nate? I think it's \$10,000. I think it's maybe \$10,000 total and our contribution of 50% of that, so \$5,000.

Mr. Kirchoff: Wow, that's a lot less than it used to be.

Mr. Klinger: Yeah, I'll have to look into that because I'm thinking that maybe our contribution might be \$10,000; I might have those numbers wrong. But it's certainly less than \$20,000.

Mr. Kirchoff: Okay, thank you.

Mr. Klinger: I'll have to look at that again; I can provide that information to you. That last thing that I had really, was some old business, I guess, that we've been discussing for some time now which is the Developer Agreement with the Pogue shopping center, the Shops at Perry Crossing. This is an issue that Kent had been working with Pogue on. We, the Town, invested in the Shops at Perry Crossing in combination with Pogue to make some improvements to the facility. There was an expectation that their property taxes generated for the project would repay the Town for the amount invested in that project. At this point they are projecting that they are going to fall short of the target for next year. And so, they have made a request to essentially delay the benchmark by one year. And then I think part of that process would be to add in an additional benchmark, I believe it was in year 12 – Kent can confirm that. So, that request is basically in front of the Council. I think Kent has raised the issue; he would like to be able to give some kind of response to Pogue on that. I did share with you all that we had Steve Dyson do an analysis in combination with Baker Tilly to look at what their assessments have been over the years; how much has actually been kind of recouped through the TIF arrangement and how much is still outstanding. So, that information has been shared. And based on that, you know, Steve's Dyson initial recommendation was that we do not delay any action; that ultimately, because of appeals of assessment, that's part of the reason why they are falling short. And so, that was his recommendation. However, since then we've had this pandemic come through and Steve somewhat modified his recommendation to suggest that, well, given what's going on, particularly in the retail market, we are expecting that retail is probably going to be one of the hardest hit sectors from the result of this pandemic. I think a lot of other industry and services will be able to bounce back but retail is going to take a hit here. And so, Steve definitely, in his recommendation, left it open to the idea that action could be taken here really, as a way to give them a little bit of relief in what is a very difficult time. So, that's essentially my report and I guess I'd turn it over to all you in terms of how you want to proceed with this. I'd probably turn it over to Kent to see if he wants to add anything to that.

Mr. McPhail: I think the only thing I'd add is that you know, I sent an email out yesterday evening where I tried to analyze Baker Tilly's analysis, and I honestly believe they were justified in appealing those early years. They had a value of \$34 million when they had it appraised and a month later, they had taxes at an assessed value of \$52 million. So, I sent my analysis out; I think it's correct. I wouldn't guarantee that all of my numbers are 100% correct, but I tried to run those numbers based on their report. And I was really a little bit shocked because I didn't realize we'd had a 14-15% reduction in tax rates during that period of time and that certainly would have made a significant difference if we still had the same tax rate the day that we signed that agreement. I don't think any of us were thinking about having a significant reduction in tax rate back in those days. I don't know if you have any questions on the analysis that I provided, but I really believe that we've got to give these folks some relief. They're trying to get their budgets put together and I know they're in worse shape today than they were when they made this request. When we've got a shopping mall that's closed, it's tough. So, it would be my recommendation that we approve their request. And in addition to that, Bob Rodgers has agreed to sign the agreement where they will cooperate with the development of the other land down there, and I think that's an important part of it also.

Mr. Brandgard: Lance, any comments?

Mr. Angle: First of all, Kent, thank you so much for all of the hard work you put in to getting the data, talking to the assessor, and trying to figure out what happened, when it happened, and kind of why it happened, quite frankly. So, I appreciate that. It is a very important part of our community, there's no doubt about it. They were hit very, very hard with this COVID-19 and we have no idea the total affect of the pandemic on the retail and Shops of Perry Crossing, specifically. I am a proponent of continuing to help them, even if that means monetarily. However, I think some of the reserve I have is their request to defer this and their request to continue to have funding from the Town so that they can handle their own funding in a way to

do things with tenants and things of that nature. So, even to your point Kent, it's going to be a challenge to manage how they're spending their money. It might be a more short-term fix for a more longer-term problem, frankly, or challenge. So, I think it's very incumbent on us to spend some time thinking about how they will be able to survive and what role the Town, if any, can play into that, especially since the Shops at Perry Crossing was built and remodeled and rehabbed mainly for retail. And in just the most recent past, we've kind of realized that that sector, retail itself, isn't necessarily what the market is calling for. There are some other mixed uses that these types of developments are seeing much better success with because of the stability. So, with that all being said, I don't have specific questions for Kent, except for the fact that the only people we can lean on to help monitor what and how Pogue spends the money, would be Town staff. Because frankly, we continue to fund them, partially, and continue to give these allowances and leeway, so that they can spend their money on things, which we're not saying or have any control of.

Mr. Brandgard: Dan, anything?

Mr. Bridget: Well, my first thought was, before all of this shut down of the economy and all that, the way I viewed it was, they went to the County and asked for some tax relief and in doing so, they missed their mark to us. It's like you're taking it out of one pocket and putting it into another, at least that's the way I was viewing it, and I didn't have a whole lot of sympathy for that. That being said, given the situation that we're in right now, I think I might be willing to reconsider my position; I'm still thinking about it. That's sort of where I'm at, Robin.

Mr. Brandgard: Okay, thank you. Bill, any thoughts?

Mr. Kirchoff: Yes, I agree. I think we're in a unique situation. The thing that we've heard more than once is, they kind of shot themselves in the foot. They knew they had AV, they had the meet and they went and got the AV reduced. That just, that concerns me that they – it appears that they're looking to us to help them solve problems that they created for themselves. The other thing though, is that I had a chance today to go back and look at the agreement and I guess my question is: what happens if we don't do anything and they simply miss the mark and we just defer it, we just carry it? The agreement allows for them to miss payments; we just charge them interest, like a late fee. So, part of my question is, do we really need to do anything? And if a year from now, they're \$160,000 short, we just carry that and charge them interest as the agreement calls for. And then the other question I had is: the RDC has been a party to this agreement, have we appraised the RDC at all about this contingency? That's the two things I had.

Mr. McPhail: You know, Bill, I'd like to make another comment on their appealing the assessment. The benchmarks were all established based on that \$34 million appraised value. And the first year they paid taxes after that, their assessed value was \$52 million. And I think anybody in their right mind would have certainly appealed that assessment. When those benchmarks were established, they were based upon the appraised value of that property. When I went back and looked at that, it just – I certainly – if it had been me, I certainly would have been appealing those first two or three years of assessed value based on the market value. You know, we're supposed to be at market value; they have \$34 million invested and the County assessed it at \$52 million.

Mr. Kirchoff: So, you're saying our original contract was based on the lower value?

Mr. McPhail: Yes, absolutely.

Mr. Kirchoff: Okay, I didn't understand it that way.

Mr. McPhail: That benchmark was based on the \$34 million, what it would generate at the time.

Mr. Kirchoff: Okay, thanks

Mr. McPhail: Are you on there, Mel? Can you confirm that?

Mr. Daniel: I am. Yeah, that's correct. That's numbers we were operating off of because that's what the assessed value was at the time; that's the only numbers we had. As Kent said, I don't think anybody anticipated that the County or anybody would value that at basically \$18 million more than that number at that particular time. That was a huge jump at the time.

Mr. Bridget: I was not aware of that fact. Thank you, Kent.

Mr. Daniel: And Kent has already mentioned this, but I do think another thing that probably has more value here because of all the natural discussion we've had, I think to the extent that they have made it clear – in fact, Bob Rodgers said that he would be personally involved in both participating and cooperating with the Town in doing a plan for that entire area around there, which is something that the Town has been trying to accomplish for a long time. I think that probably has value that's hard to put a number on. There's no question that area, you know, we begged the banks when they owned all of that property, to cooperate, which they would not. But I think the property now is in a position with much fewer owners and everything. The Town could really put together a larger plan for that area and get a little better control there, especially with the help of Pogue.

Mr. McPhail: You know, I'd make another comment that if we extend that one year and then we put the 11<sup>th</sup> year benchmark in there, and if they don't hit it, then you'll recoup what you've given up that first year, by extending one year. Because you'll get two more years based on a mid-mark benchmark.

Mr. Daniel: And the one other thing that occurred there, that once again, is not the Town's fault or anybody else, but they anticipated that the hotel was supposed to be open before the County assessed that property and that sort of thing, for the next year. Of course, that's not open yet, so the builders on that hotel significantly missed their mark on when they were going to be completed, which once again, affected the assessed value of the entire property.

Mr. Angle: Kent, to Bill's point, would it be acceptable to just not do anything?

Mr. McPhail: Well, I think it puts them in a position where they have to budget to pay that next year.

Mr. Kirchoff: No, they don't.

Mr. McPhail: I mean, if they've got an agreement out there...

Mr. Kirchoff: It says in there if they don't pay it, we simply just, we consider it delinquent and then just charge them interest. So, they don't have to pay it.

Mr. McPhail: Yeah, but they will have to budget for that, or I would. Any business that's got a liability out there would have to budget for that, Bill, whether you paid it or not. That's their problem; that's the reason they're asking for relief. They're trying to get their next year's budget approved and they've got that liability hanging out there that they think is about \$165,000. I think Tilly's report was about \$185,000. So, there's some disagreement there but that's the reason they've asked for relief, that's what they told us in the conference call that we had with them. Me and Mel, Robin and Andrew were in on it, that conference call, and that's the urgency that they've got in trying to get their next year's budget approved.

Mr. Brandgard: I'd say, looking at it from a budget standpoint, if you budget something, you're taking that money away from something else that you could do within your budget.

Mr. McPhail: Yeah

Mr. Brandgard: I guess my – personally, I'm getting tired of having to come back – this is the third time for something that they've come back to us for. On the other hand, I'm looking at what we have ran into now with – the mall has been shut down for four weeks, we don't know how much longer it's going to be and to move that payment out another year, I guess I can go along with that under these conditions.

Mr. Kirchoff: So, what do we do when they come back next year and say that they need another year?

Mr. McPhail: Tell them no

Mr. Kirchoff: I mean, when are we going to draw the line in the sand?

Mr. Brandgard: I think from my standpoint, I've gone as far as I want to go.

Mr. McPhail: I agree. You know, I've been their proponent for – how long Lance? I hate to say that but you know, I have worried about this venue from day one and you know, I'm probably too close to it because I – and I've told you that before – I've been involved with it so much, I've tried to back myself out of it this last year or so, but I just can't imagine that mall being dark. I'd like to give you a good example of that. I was in the Phoenix area a few years ago and I saw a mall much bigger than that, and the last department store was having a "Going out of Business" sale and the whole neighborhood was on the downfall. It was just really heartbreaking to see. And the mall wasn't that old; it probably wasn't 20 years old when I was there, and it was closed and the whole neighborhood was going downhill. I certainly worry about our retail area if they don't make it. You know, we spent a long time, several years, getting somebody to buy that out of bankruptcy and try to revive it.

Mr. Kirchoff: I saw the same thing in Kansas City, Kent, and I understand. But it just seems like it's one-sided. I just, I don't know that we're getting enough for what they're asking for. I don't know what else to ask for, but it sure seems one-sided to me.

Mr. Brandgard: Bill, this is a thought on that. I feel the same way, but since Bob made the commitment to work with us on the redevelopment of that whole area out there, that may give us a little bit of inroad on what they're going to do or not going to do, which we haven't had before.

Mr. Daniel: That's true, Robin, you're exactly right on that. And if I could add one more comment based on what Robin had to say there, if the Council chooses to go ahead and approve this and feels like they've gone about as far as they should go, I don't see any reason that couldn't be communicated as a part of this deal. You know, the Town feels like they've been fair and worked with them and so on and so forth, but that the Town feels like this is probably about as much as they can do for the mall out there, for what that's worth. What do you think, Kent?

Mr. McPhail: Yeah, I would certainly support that. And it's like Bill said, we've got to draw the line in the sand someplace and I'm ready to do that. They've spent a great deal of effort in the last few months trying to bring an entertainment venue in there and I suspect that's probably – I hope it hasn't gone backwards. I'm sure it's stopped; I'm sure Lance could allude to that. There's probably not much negotiating going on right now. You know, they had a pretty good plan they were working on, a pretty good venue for family entertainment which would take quite a bit of space. They had another restaurant lined up. I don't know, it's probably on hold now. I'd just like to put it to bed.

Mr. Angle: I think it would be premature for us to put it in writing that the Town doesn't want to assist in the future because we don't know what the future holds. I think I would recommend more of a straight forward communication one-on-one with Pogue, or even someone from Pogue coming to one of the Council meetings or work sessions and letting us communicate, as a Council, about our feelings and what's happening, so they hear all of the communication that we're sharing. I think that could help as well, help alleviate some of the thoughts about previous funding, that's funding that's taken place and money that's happened with that funding, and things of that nature. But my personal feeling is that we shouldn't try to encompass any kind of "no more help financially" in an agreement. At least not with this agreement, we shouldn't.

Mr. Daniel: Lance, this is Mel. I absolutely agree with what you said, I did not mean to make it part of the agreement. I just meant to communicate to them that with the approval of this

agreement, the Town currently feels like that's about all they can do, and we'll try to keep them working to keep the mall going. I probably didn't say that very well but I agree with you, I sure don't think there should be any written thing basically saying that we're cutting things off, because none of us know what's going to happen in the next few years.

Mr. Angle: I appreciate that. And Kent, I think to your point about being too close, I know I am very appreciative for all of your hard work. And it does have a lot of feeling in it; it's a big part of our community, it employs a lot of people. We all shop there, we all eat there, we've all had fun there and done stuff and all those types of things, so it is personal. There's a lot to it, but one thing I would maybe just mention is, if you are certainly just trying to back away just a little bit, maybe we continue talking to them about coming to a work session and Bob sitting down with our team or with a few of our Councilmembers in a work session or something like that to try to get you a little bit out of that situation. So that they're working with more of the Council instead of just one individual.

Mr. Bridget: I agree with Lance on that. I think whenever one of us, as a Town Councilmember, gets into a negotiation with a third party or second party, where we're doing this as a one-on-one, it's probably not the best situation. I really, I'd just like to echo what Lance said. Kent, I really appreciate what you've done too, but I appreciate you wanting to back out and we know you want to do the right thing.

Mr. Angle: With that being said, I think we just put this thing to vote and move on.

Mr. Brandgard: Okay, Mel, what do we have to do to move this on or not?

Mr. Daniel: I'm sorry, go ahead Robin. What did you say?

Mr. Brandgard: What do we have to do to move this on? I want to know, at this point if the Council is ready to give consent to move to put an agreement together to defer this year to next year, or this payment coming up to the following year and then bring that back for our approval at the next meeting?

Mr. Daniel: Well, the Council has had the agreements for, I think, a couple of meetings now. Those were sent out, Robin, some time ago and I know it's been enough time passed now that probably some people don't even remember. But there's an amendment to the first agreement and an amendment to the second agreement to accomplish this. So, those are ready to be signed, if the Council is going to approve those. I want those approved subject to Pogue entering into a letter agreement to cooperate and participate in developing that area surrounding the mall down there.

Mr. McPhail: You know, and I would add to that a commitment from Bob Rodgers, as soon as it's possible for him to come and meet with us and we can meet together, that he come and meet with us. And he's very willing to do that. That's not a problem; he will come as soon as he can. I would make a motion that we approve the agreement to extend the Gap payment one year and enter the Gap payment in the document as presented.

Mr. Brandgard: Is there a second?

Mr. Angle: Yeah, I'll second.

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you, we have a motion and a second to approve – there's two agreements relative to...

Mr. Daniel: Robin?

Mr. Brandgard: Go ahead

Mr. Daniel: It's the first amendment to the Development Agreement for the development of the Shops at Perry Crossing and the second amendment to the Development Agreement for the redevelopment of the Shops at Perry Crossing formerly known as Metropolis Shopping Center.

Mr. Brandgard: Okay, Mel just read back the motion.

Mr. Daniel: Right

Mr. Brandgard: We've had a motion and a second. Can we have a roll call vote?

Mr. Todisco: Mr. Bridget- yes

Mr. Angle- yes

Mr. Kirchoff- no

Mr. McPhail- yes

Mr. Brandgard- yes

Approval on the Pogue issue is approved.

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you

Mr. McPhail: Thank you

Mr. Daniel: Robin?

Mr. Brandgard: Yes?

Mr. Daniel: I have the agreements. I'll give those to Andrew to circulate among the Councilmembers and get them signed.

Mr. Brandgard: Okay, very good, thank you. Do we have any other old business?

Mr. Klinger: I still have – sorry, I still have one thing. Actually, this is the question about the fireworks. I was able to look it up as we were going through that discussion and the total amount on the fireworks is \$33,500 and I believe we usually participate with half, so it would be \$16,750. I don't know where I came up with those earlier numbers. I apologize, but at least I was able to come up with the right numbers for you. And then also, in terms of that discussion with Pogue, Bill, at one point mentioned, the Redevelopment Commission is also a party to the contract. And so, I believe what we'll have to do is now take – you know, we wanted the Council to take the lead and now that the Council has taken action, we will refer that to the Redevelopment Commission as well. That's all I had.

## **OLD BUSINESS**

Mr. Brandgard: Lance, any old business?

Mr. Angle: No

Mr. Brandgard: Dan?

Mr. Bridget: No

Mr. Brandgard: Bill?

Mr. Kirchoff: I don't know if it's under old or new, but I got an email today from a fellow at our church that works with Family Promise. Let me just read it to you quickly. I think every church has someone that's kind of a liaison, but this is the Methodist Church. He says, right now, SBN - I'm not sure what that is, Stability Builder's Network – and the Hendricks County Food Pantry Coalition seventy-two households in Hendricks County received food deliveries. The need continues to grow daily and over the weekend it says a grandpa with two young grandchildren on the south side of our County called for help. They were down to a couple of cans of soup and some eggs Food was delivered within a couple of hours. He called back to say, God bless you guys and thank you for answering our prayers, we're so grateful for the many churches and

individuals making deliveries and collecting food. If you could help with our effort, please let us know. We need volunteers to help deliver food. If you know anyone in need, please encourage them to reach out to Family Promise. So, my question is, are we tied into that network or can Stephanie get this out to the community, about this pretty urgent need for food and for funding? I'm not sure who to direct that to.

Mr. Klinger: Stephanie is definitely connected to a number of different church resources and different things. And so, if you can get that information to Stephanie, we can make sure to loop in the right people and make sure that we can get them what they need.

Mr. Kirchoff: Okay, I'll forward that email to her. Thank you, that's all I had.

Mr. Brandgard: Okay, thank you.

## **NEW BUSINESS**

Mr. Brandgard: Kent, new business?

Mr. McPhail: You know, I'll just tag on a little bit to what Bill said there. Visit Hendricks County has been doing everything they can to support these types of things and they have cut their budget about two thirds because with no hotel tax, they have no income. But there is, you know, we donated \$5,000 out of a fund that we have at the County Foundation. I think there's a few more dollars there. We have a weekly phone meeting and I will see if maybe there's a possibility to attach some more funds there, Bill.

Mr. Kirchoff: Thanks

Mr. Brandgard: Dan, new business?

Mr. Bridget: No

Mr. Brandgard: Lance, new business?

Mr. Angle: No

Mr. Brandgard: Bill, new business?

Mr. Kirchoff: Nothing here, thank you.

Mr. Brandgard: If there is no other old or new business, we'll move on to Resolutions.

## **RESOLUTIONS**

Mr. Brandgard: We have Resolution No. 2020-13 – A Resolution to Approve a Transfer of Appropriations – Six Points TIF Fund. I'll entertain a motion to approve.

Mr. Kirchoff: So moved

Mr. Bridget: Second

Mr. Brandgard: Roll call vote, please. We've had a motion and a second.

Mr. Todisco: Mr. Bridget- yes

Mr. Angle- yes

Mr. Kirchoff- yes

Mr. McPhail- yes

Mr. Brandgard- yes

Plainfield Town Council Resolution No. 2020-13 is adopted.

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you. We also have Resolution No. 2020-20 to approve the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement with Hendricks County, related to the road improvements to support the new Guilford Elementary School and the widening of County Road 675 East. Entertain a motion to approve.

Mr. Angle: Move to approve

Mr. McPhail: Second

Mr. Brandgard: We have a motion and a second to approve Resolution 2020-20. If there's no further discussion, roll call vote please.

Mr. Todisco:

Mr. Bridget- yes

Mr. Angle- yes

Mr. Kirchoff- yes

Mr. McPhail- yes

Mr. Brandgard- yes

Plainfield Town Council Resolution No. 2020-20 is adopted.

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you

**ORDINANCES**

Mr. Brandgard: We also have three Ordinances for the Third Reading and Adoption. The first is Ordinance No. 07-2020 – An Ordinance to Amend the Plainfield Zoning Ordinance of the Town of Plainfield, Indiana, and Fixing a Time When the Same Shall Take Effect – (Omnibus).

Mr. Bridget: Move to approve

Mr. Kirchoff: Second

Mr. Brandgard: We have a motion and a second to approve the Third Reading and Adoption of Ordinance No. 07-2020. If there's no further discussion, roll call vote please.

Mr. Todisco:

Mr. Bridget- yes

Mr. Angle- yes

Mr. Kirchoff- yes

Mr. McPhail- yes

Mr. Brandgard- yes

Third Reading of Plainfield Ordinance No. 07-2020 is approved and adopted.

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you. We also have Ordinance No. 08-2020 – An Ordinance to Amend the Plainfield Zoning Ordinance of the Town of Plainfield, Indiana, and Fixing a Time When the Same Shall Take Effect – (Automotive Commercial)

Mr. McPhail: I move to approve

Mr. Angle: I second

Mr. Brandgard: We have a motion and a second to approve the Third Reading and Adoption of Ordinance No. 08-2020. If there's no further discussion, roll call vote please.

Mr. Todisco: Mr. Bridget- yes

Mr. Angle- yes

Mr. Kirchoff- yes

Mr. McPhail- yes

Mr. Brandgard- yes

Third Reading of Plainfield Ordinance No. 08-2020 is approved and adopted.

Mr. Brandgard: Thank you. We also have Ordinance No. 02- 2020 – An Ordinance Establishing No Parking on Elm Drive from West Oliver Avenue to Sycamore Drive. This is tabled, and I recommend that we keep it tabled.

Mr. McPhail: So moved

Mr. Kirchoff: Second

Mr. Brandgard: A motion and a second to have Ordinance No. 02- 2020 remain tabled. If there's no further discussion, all those in favor signify by aye.

(All ayes)

Mr. Brandgard: Motion carried, thank you.

## **COUNCIL COMMENTS**

Mr. Brandgard: Is there anything else to come before the Council this evening?

Mr. McPhail: Mr. President, I'd like to just thank Nate and Andrew for keeping us all updated and keeping the employees all updated. I think you're doing a great job, and I just pray that this thing gets over soon. But you guys are doing a good job and just keep us informed.

Mr. Kirchoff: Hear, hear

Mr. Brandgard: Good comments, thank you.

Mr. Bridget: Excellent

## **ADJOURN**

Mr. Brandgard: If there's nothing else, I'd entertain a motion to sign what needs signing and adjourn.

Mr. Angle: So moved

Mr. McPhail: Second

Mr. Brandgard: Motion and a second; all those in favor signify by aye.

(All ayes)

Mr. Brandgard: Opposed? Motion carried, thank you.

Mr. Angle: Be safe everybody

DocuSigned by:  
*Robin G. Brandgard*  
0995BF0F9B57437...

Robin G. Brandgard, President

DocuSigned by:  
*Mark J. Todisco*  
5C89E0DDFB63426...

Mark J. Todisco, Clerk-Treasurer